

## Joint AAAS-AAAP Animal Production Congress – abstract review online form

Thank you for reviewing one-page papers for the upcoming AAAS Conference.

As part of this review process you will be asked to answer specific questions and add comments to this online form to indicate what changes are required. There are 3 main sections to this review:

- 1) Yes/no questions on structure, quality & formatting
- 2) Overall reviewer feedback – typed response directly into box (no requirement to annotate manuscript)
- 3) Recommendation for oral, pitch or poster & confidential feedback to session chairs

Unless otherwise stated, questions and responses in the reviewer form **will be visible to the submitting author**.

These one-page paper submissions, for inclusion in the conference proceedings, are not considered fully peer-reviewed journal articles and it is expected that most submissions will be accepted, perhaps requiring minor revisions. Therefore, this review process is designed to help the submitting authors improve the quality of their submissions and ensure all papers are formatted correctly.

Please keep in mind that submissions have been encouraged from a variety of persons with work related to the animal sciences, with many not formally trained in scientific writing or with English as a second language. Your detailed and constructive advice will be greatly appreciated by all authors

### Abstract review

Please check the appropriate response yes/no to the questions below. If no please provide comments on this in section 2

#### Section 1. Structure, quality and formatting

**1a. Does the one-page paper contain original information or findings that progresses knowledge on this subject?**

- Yes
- No (no please provide comments on this in section 2)
- 

**1b. Overall do you find the methodology appropriate for the subject matter being examined (if applicable)?**

- Yes
- No (no please provide comments on this in section 2)
- Not applicable

**1.c Where results are presented, do they directly relate to the key topic of the paper & are they meaningful?**

*Results presented in these submissions may be preliminary or limited in nature which is ok. Please also keep in mind that there may not be traditional 'results' for some submissions, particularly those not dealing with quantitative data.*

- Yes
- No (no please provide comments on this in section 2)
- Not applicable

**1.d Does the paper contain all the required sections?**

*Papers should include: Full title, authors and affiliations, corresponding author, Introduction, Material and methods (including statistical analysis method), Results, Conclusion and implications, Acknowledgements (including financial support statement and ethics approval), and References.*

- Checkbox Yes/No/ unsure

**1.e Does the paper contain an adequate hypothesis/objective AND conclusion/implications statement?**

All papers submitted should include a Conclusion and Implications statement at the end of the paper

- Yes
- No (no please provide comments on this in section 2)

**1.f Are there an excessive number of figures and tables included for a one-page paper?**

*Reminder: Authors were asked to prepare a one-page paper with maximum word count of 1000 words with no tables or figures. Suggested word counts were: 900 words with one (average size) figure OR table and 850 words with one (average size) figure and one (average size) table*

- Yes
- No (no please provide comments on this in section 2)

**Section 2. Overall reviewer feedback**

**2a. Please add you comments and any feedback and suggestion to the author here.**

**There is no requirement to upload an annotated manuscript**

- [text box to add comments]

**2b. Have you requested that the author/s complete changes?**

- Yes
- No (no please provide comments on this in section 2)

**2d. Recommended presentation type [your response here will only be available to the session co-chairs not the author]**

- Oral (10-20 mins, exact timing TBC)
- Elevator Pitch and poster (3-5 minute presentation plus digital poster)
- Poster

**2d. Comments to Publications Committee [your response here will only be available to the session co-chairs not the author]**

- If you have further comments or concerns about this submission, please add them here. This will only be viewed by the Publications Committee